

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,810	(08/14/2003	Len Ekkert	1620-82491	3127	
24628	7590	09/09/2005		EXAMINER		
WELSH &	•		ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA			
	120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,		6	3727			

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				VY				
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/642,810	EKKERT, LEN					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Niki M. Eloshway	3727					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	9SS				
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this common DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		ŀ						
2a)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters		nerits is				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed any objection to the examined and the correct and the examined and the exami	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11-15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stanish (U.S. 4,216,875). Stanish teaches a cap-utensil combination 20 comprising a cap 24, a rib structure 42, and a utensil 52. The cap has a top 28 and a side wall 34. The utensil 52 has first and second points of contact at opposite ends 64 and 62 and a third point of contact with the top wall at 68.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanish (U.S. 4,216,875) in view of Murdick et al. (U.S. 5,706,974). Stanish discloses the claimed invention except for the material section of the utensil having a square cross-sectional configuration. Murdick et al. teaches that it is known to provide a cap/utensil combination with a utensil having a square material section (see figure 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap/utensil combination with the material section having a square configuration, as taught by Murdick et al., since it has been held that a change of shape is a matter of choice which a person

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810

Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant (*In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)).

5. Claims 4, 5, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanish (U.S. 4,216,875) in view of Atkinson (U.S. 5,705,212). Stanish discloses the claimed invention except for the rib structure having a plurality of rib segments. Atkinson teaches that it is known to provide a cap/utensil combination with a plurality of rib segments (see elements 30 and 32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap/utensil combination of Stanish with the continuous rib being replaced by a plurality of rib segments, such as those of the Atkinson cap, in order to save on material costs and increase the flexibility of the cap.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed June 20, 2005, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections based on Atkinson as a primary reference have been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2005, regarding the Stanish reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Stanish "does not have a scoop portion nor does it have the three points of contact which ensure that the utensil is securely held within the cap" (page 6 of Applicant's Response filed June 20, 2005). The examiner disagrees with this position. Stanish teaches a utensil 52, shown in figures 12-14, which has a scoop portion at 70. The handle portion is element 72 which has two ends, and the scoop portion 72 also has two ends, one end at 64 and the other end at 68. Stanish also teaches three points of contact. A first point of contact is at end 62, the second point of contact is at end 64 and the third point of contact is at 68.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly to (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is (571) 272-4538. The examiner is in the office on Thursdays and Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Niki M. Eloshway/nm Patent Examiner

September 1, 2005

NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE PRIMARY EXAMINER